Previous references 1891-92

192

File NO. 8-71

Serial Nos. 1 to .

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 13.

Part B for August 1894.

SUBJECT.

Re Increase of Imm Vale Chief Jailor Central Jail & reduction of pay to the Chief Jailorship &c.

1 to 13 To The Comptroller Mr. G.
Inspector General of Prisons
Mysore
No. 1391-2/E.7.71/10-8-1894
Sanctioning a pension of Rs 65-3-6
to Imm Laitu with effect from the 1st Jan

FACING SHEET FOR FILE

Gen'l General BRANCH

A-11

File No. 26 of 1893

SUBJECT.

Retirement of late chief Jailor Central Jail and reduction of Salary to the Chief Jailor &c

Office Note

Reference — (1) Letter No 6984 dt 30th Nov 1894, from the Comptroller to the Govt of Guyana.

Petition dt 12th June 1894, from Wm T. Tate, late Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bonjabne.

Subject — Pension of Wm Tate

Mr Tate was entertained in the Govt Service on the 2nd June 1871, as Jailor, Demerara Jail, being on that date 35 years old - He was transferred to the Central Jail Bonjabne as Chief Jailor on 27th June 1888 - He attained the age

891. Bord as hence
eported by the Inspector
eneral of Prisons
the physically fit
or further service,
his retention in service
or a period of two
ears i.e. upto the
end of March 1893 was
anctioned in Govt.
No. No. 7345-6 of
83
24 th September 1891.
Before the expiry of
this period Wm. Lake
was found fault with
on two occasions
once in November 1891
in connection with a
case of theft that
occurred in the Central
Jail and on the 2nd
in January 1892 in
the case of a prisoner
[illegible] a wrong

and returned, and
the Inspector General
reported that Mr Tate
& the late Mr. clerk of
the Central Jail, who
were responsible for the
latter mistake, instead
of admitting it as their
own, tried to implicate
an innocent
person to screen
themselves.

In January 93
the Inspector General
demanded in such
orders as the Govt
might be pleased to
frame, a letter from
the Supt. Central
Jail regarding the
retention in Lunacy
of Mr Tate for a
further period. With
reference thereto, the
authority was asked
to have Govt. inter

the merits of Mr.
Tate's case. In
reply the Inspector
General stated that
had it not been
for the facts disclosed
against Mr. Tate
in the two cases
above referred to,
he should have been
disposed to recommend
his further retention
in Service upto 31st
March 1894. He
recommended, however,
that he might be
kept on in Service
till 30th June 1893,
when he would complete
his 22nd year of
Service and retired
thereafter. Accordingly,

his pension in force
till 30th June 1893,
was sanctioned in
Pro. No. 16330-31 dl
Jl. 3935
1st Aug 93

Ian Pate having
thus been retired
from service from 1st
July 1893, his pension
papers have now
been submitted by
the Comptroller duly
verified and reported
on by him. The
Pension earned by him
by his 22 years' ser.
is £74-8-7 per
mensem. But as
he had commenced his
service after 20th
January 1871 and
after he attained the
age of 30 years, the
[illegible] pension is

by one fortieth for every
year by which his
age at the com-
-mencement of the
qualifying service exceed
ed thirty years, under
article 321 (a) of
the Bengal Service
Regulations. Accordingly
the Comptroller reduces
it by $\frac{5}{40}$ ths or Rs. 9/5/1
and certifies a
pension of Rs. 65-3-6
per mensem as ad-
-missible to him.

Submitted pr
order into a Petition
from Mr. Pate, in
which he prays that
the pension earned
by him for his 22 years'
service may be granted
to him in full without

made therefrom

Sub
12/7/94

Subd for orders - No case has been made out to justify a departure from the Rules

HH
12/7/94

Rule 5 orders not only that the Court must regard but cannot under the Rules grant the application asked for HH

12/8/94

General Serial No. 29.)

1891 4775

18 AUG 91

From The Inspector General of Prisons, Impore—

To The ~~Secretary to the~~ Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14th August 91

Despatched 15—

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 548 } 188 —8 .
No.

Recommends that Mr. Tate, Chief Jailor, Central Jail, may be allowed to be retained in service for a further period of two years—

D Rollason
Inspector
Gs

18781

Bangalore 14th August 1891.

From.

T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty Esquire
Inspector General of Prisons

To. K. Sheshadri Iyer Esquire C.S.I.
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir, Mr. Tate, the Chief Jailor of
Central Jail Bangalore, having attain
the age of 55 years on the 14th June 189
I have the honor to recommend that Gov
-ment may be pleased to allow his reter
in the Service for a further period of two
The Superintendent of the Jail reports th
he is physically fit for further service

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servant,

T. Thumboo
Inspector General of Prison

Read:— Letter No. 5488/91 14th Augt./91, from the Inspector General of Prisons in Burma stating that Mr. Tate Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore has attained the age of 55 years on the 14th June 1891; and recommending that he may be retained in Service for a further period of two years, having been reported by the Supt. Central Jail to be physically fit for further Service.

H.A. 8-91-2

Order Thereon.

No. 7345-6 dt. 24-9-91
83 28.

The retention in Service of Chief Jailor Mr. Tate of the Central Jail upto

31st March 1893
is sanctioned.

A1

Indersed

b. The Inspector General of Prisons, in Mysore
Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

To, The Registrar
Chief Com
of Mysore

The Comptroller
to the Govt of
Mysore.

2345-6
83.

Sanct. the retention in service, till 31st March 1893, of Mr. Tate of the Central Jail.

1893. G 3582

From The Resident to the Inspector General of Prisons—

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

1 & A 8. 21-3

Dated 26th Jany/93

Despatched 27th —

Received

Enclosures No. two

General No. 55 / *No.* | 188 —8 .

Forwards for such orders as the Government may be pleased to pass letter N. 1091 dated 18th Jany/93 from the Supte. Cent. Jail, regarding the retention in service of Dr. Fate, Chief Jailor for a further period —

Rep. Papers. Mrn 27/1.

References put up 343. 28/1

To, The Same No: 13223 30/1/93 C 2941

(3) 5

No. 55 Rn: two

Bangalore 26th January 1893

From

The Registrar to the
Inspector General of Prisons
in Mysore

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward, in original, Letter No. 1091 dated 13th January 1893, received from the Superintendent Central jail with its enclosures, for such order as the Government may be pleased to pass thereon, and to state that the Offg: Inspector General makes no recommendation, as the Offg: Dewan may know more about the merits of the case, than he can know.

to be obligingly returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obt. Servant;

Acting Registrar

A 13223/2941 130-93
F-2
62

A.A.G. 8-91-4

To,
The Registrar
of the Chief
Court of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to
your letter no. 55 dd
26th January 1893 in re
the further retention
in service of Mr. Tate,
Chief Jailor, Central
Jail, Bangalore, who
has attained more than
55 years of age, I have
the honor to request
you will be good enough
to favor the Govt.
with the opinion of the
Inspector General of
Prisons as to the merits
of Mr. Tate's case.
2. The two original enclosures
of your letter I have the
honor returned.

I have &c.

From the Same vide no:
207 dd 9-3-93

1893 10197

From The Inspector General of Prisons, Bangalore

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 9th March/93

Despatched 10 —

Received

Enclosures No. two

General No. 247 / No. } 188 —8 .

Forwards opinion as to the merits of Mr. Tate's case in regard to his further retention in Serv

G & J.
Nara 10/3/93

Submitted with refer
10/3 WL 11th 21/3

Submitted.

Mr. Tate, Chief Jailor, Ban
Central Jail, attained his
55th year on 14th June 189
His retention in service
up to 31st March 1893 wa
sanctioned in September 18
Mr. Tate desires to be re-ap

till 31st March 1894, but
the Insp. General of Prisons
not disposed to recommend
application as Mr. Tate's conduct
two cases has not been
satisfactory. The Insp. Gen.
Gen. recommends Mr. Tate's
retention up to 30th June
1893 when he will complete
his 22nd year of service.

Nara 24/3/93

Orders in these
Cases are sent
up by the Dy Commr
Bellary

for Dewan's Secy
11th 30/3

The Insp. Genl. of P's recommr.
to retain Mr T's services
upto 30/June 93 is sanctd.
31-3-93

Draft to
issue today
11th/4

Despd
AK. 1/4

To The Same & the Comptroller
Nos 16330—31 3935 dy 1/4/93

Bangalore 9th March 1893.

From.

T. A. Thumboo Chetty Esquire.

Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

To.

Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer, K.C.S.I.

Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Chief Secretary's No. 13,223/2941 dated 30th January 1893, requesting my opinion as to the merits of Mr. Tate's case, and to state in reply that Mr Tate attained his 55½ year on the 14th June 1891, and that his further retention in the service for 2 years, i e, upto 31st March 1893, was sanctioned by Govern. on my recommendation, in their Proceedings No. 7345-83 6 dated 24th September 1891. Subsequent to this date, i e in November 1891, Mr Tate was found fault with in connection with a case of theft that occurred in the Central Jail. The facts are fully stated in the enclosed copy of my Proceedings No. 801 dated

29th December 1891. In January 1892, it was brought to my notice, that when a prisoner died, a wrong warrant was endorsed and returned, and for this mistake both Mr Tate the Chief Jailor and the late Head Clerk were responsible; but, instead of admitting their mistake, they tried to implicate an innocent person to screen themselves. A copy of my docket on this subject addressed to the Superintendent of the Central Jail, No 56 dated 29th January 1892, is enclosed. But for the facts disclosed against Mr Tate in the two cases above referred to, I should have been disposed to support the application for the further retention of Mr Tate in the service till 31st March 1894. The Superintendent tells me that Mr Tate will complete his 22nd year of service on or before the 30th June next. He may be kept on till then and retired.

The favor of early orders is requested.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt Servant,

A Thumboo Chetty
Inspector General of Prisons

1892.

From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To the Superintendent, Central Jail Bangalore.

Dated 29th January 1892.

No 56.

His No. 79 of the 27th Instant.

—"—

I am the honor to state that the Inspr. General of Prisons agrees with the Superintendent, that Mr. Anteon is not to blame and that the Chief Jailor and Head Writer Krishnamurti Row are to blame in the matter. Although it is an error caused through oversight, yet the means adopted to conceal the mistake and the endeavour made to shift the blame on to another who was innocent, is reprehensible. The Chief Jailor and Head Writer should be severely reprimanded and a note made of the same in their Service Registers.

By Order.

(Signed) S. Ramajee Row
Registrar

Copy

Deputy
Ag Registrar

No 801.

Bombay 29th Dec 16.

(5)

To

The Superintendent

Central Jail

Sir,

In continuation of this office No. 781 dated 24th Instant, forwarding copy of Proceedings dated 22d Idem, and with adverting to your No A dated 29th ultimo, I am directed to communicate the following remarks:

2. The Inspector General of Prisons concurs with you in thinking that, as soon as the Copper Vessel was suspected to be Jail Property and the gate Keeper refused to allow it to be taken out of the Jail, it was natural to expect that the Chief Jailor, Mr Tate, would have instituted proper inquiries and reported the matter to you at once and obtained your instructions, before taking the responsibility upon himself of permitting the Vessel to be removed. He ought to have sent for the Carpenter Traistry Parthmith and questioned him about it.

Q. When you examined Mr Vale that evening (vide Statement dated 19th Novr 1891) he stated as follows:— "I remember seeing this Thapalai this evening. As far as I remember, I never saw it before. The report was made to me to the effect that the Tapalai was Government property and was being taken away. The Store Keeper Sub Row told me that the gate Buggadar refused to allow it to pass out. He told me he brought it this morning for Valaying and said it was his." From the foregoing Statement, it is quite evident that Sub Row mentioned to the Chief Jailor, that the Vessel was his, only that evening after the Gate Keeper refused to pass it. But Mr Vale made quite a different Statement before the Town Magistrate to the effect, that Sub Row obtained his permission in the morning to have the Vessel tinned in the Jail. When asked by you subsequent to the discharge of the accused by the Magistrate, to explain why he did not mention to you that he stated to the Magistrate, he gives a

Glancy Lyence. He says, It did not occur to me to mention it to the Superintendent on the Evening of the 19th when the Statement was taken down, but I have a slight re- collection of mentioning that Sub Row to me in the morning about bringing a Depot for Kalazing when the Superintendent Interc the Jail Gate and mentioned the subject."

4. You positively deny his having made any such Statement while you were Entering the Jail Gate- What has been re- duced to writing by you on 19th Nov does not support Mr Tate's allegation. It seems to the Inspector General that, if Mr Tate men- tioned to you that Evening that Sub Row had brought the Depot that morning a obtained his permission to getting at Kalaz you would have, in all probability, hesitated to allow the Prosecution to proceed, or at lea caused intimation to be given of that fac to the Police. I agree with you in thinking that Mr. Tate's Conduct was far from sati- factory and that he was disposed to favo the accused by his evidence before the Magist

5- The Duffadar and Peon who were

watching the Entrance Jail on the morning
of the 19th November, when the accused Su
Row Sayo the Tapaylai was brought in, de
having seen the Tapalai brought in by ac
cused's man or of ito having been taken
inside the Jail by Warder Muetan.

6. Muetan is the only person who
supports the accused's statement. But if t
Tapalai was brought in so openly as is alleg
and the Chief Jailor was then seated in
the Verandah, why was it not shewn to h
why was it taken into the Store room?
Muetan says - "I put it in the inner Store
room on the top of a daikshaw, which is
placed on the top of another containing r
flour." If the tapalai was brought in for
being Kalayed, it would have been shewn
the Chief Jailor and taken away at once a
placed in the Smiths workshop. There was
no necessity to take it inside the Storeroom
and to place it over, and mix it with othe
Tapalais belonging to the Jail

The Prosecution was not properly con
ducted. This circumstance of the tapalai

the Store room
with other Vessels belonging to the Jail and
removed thence to the Smithery under the
orders of the accused, ought to have been clearly
proved before the Magistrate. The omission to do so
was somewhat fatal to the prosecution.

8. With the facts elicited during the
departmental Inquiry made by you, the Insp.
Genl doubts the truth of the accused's State-
ment as to his having brought the Vessel
from his house, and that doubt is strengthened
by the admission made in the first instance
before you by Mr Tate, that he saw the
Vessel for the first time only that morning
after the Jail Keeper refused to allow it to be
taken out. But, as already remarked in the
Proceedings of 22nd Instant, the omission on
your part to take prompt action as soon
as you received confidential information from
Maistry Karim on the 19th November, about noon,
and the indiscreet action of the Police in bringing
the Vessel back to the Jail and getting
it tested as to the marks it bore without

the sanction of the Magistrate, weakened the prosecution a good deal and led to the discharge of the accused.

9. Enough however has been elicited both during the departmental enquiry held by you, and in the Proceedings of the Town Magistrate, to convince the Inspector General that Sub Row's conduct is open to grave suspicion and that he cannot safely be entrusted with the responsible duties of Store Keeper of the Gaol.

10. The evidence of the Darogah Smith shows that, when the Inventory of the Articles in the Stores was taken on 14th November 1891 in the presence of the Jailor Mr Tait and accused Sub Row, there was a Dabchan or Tapalai in the Store room without the Trim, and when the Darogah was about to enter it in List E, both Sub Row and Tait directed the Darogah not to include it. This is another suspicious circumstance against the accused. The Darogah pointed

out to the Inspector General, during his inspection, the Place where the Vessel was, and when confronted with the Chief Jailor and accused, Mr. Smith adhered to the Statement he gave before the Magistrate, that that Vessel was omitted from the list E. under the orders of the Chief Jailor and accused. Mr. Smith is admittedly on friendly terms with both Mr. Tate and accused, and his statement seems perfectly credible - Whether the Vessel was serviceable or not, it ought to have been included in the list, and the omission to do so, followed as it was, 3 days afterwards, by its disappear - ance and the removal of the Vessel which formed the subject of the Criminal Proceed - ings, looks very suspicious indeed -

11. You recommend that Sub Row may be reduced and reappointed in another capa - city. The Inspector General is doubtful whether he should be dealt with so leniently The Inspector General was at first disposed to dismiss him summarily, but having regard to his long service and your re - commendation, he is pleased to direct his

transfer as Clerk on Rs 30 to the Shimoga Jail and the Clerk Coelho, who is now in the latter Jail, to the Bangalore Central Jail as Store Keeper on same pay. Sub Row will be allowed Subsistance allowance not exceeding 1/4 of his salary during the period of suspension which will expire from the date on which this order is communicated to him. He will be directed to proceed at once to Shimoga and to report himself to the Superintendent for employment.

12. The Inspector General regrets to remark that the confidence reposed in Mr Pote is somewhat shaken by the incorrect preparation of the Inventory on the 14th November, by his omission to make proper inquiry on the 19th idem before he allowed the vessel to pass out of the Jail, and by making a statement before the Magistrate which he opposed to that he made before you. It is a matter for serious consideration, whether Mr Pate should not be compelled to retire at once and whether

the Government should not be moved t
cancel the order of Extension passed in
case. But having regard to his long ser
and past good conduct, the Inspector Gene
is disposed to deal with him somewh
leniently. He will be warned to be m
careful for the future and informed tha
any future dereliction of duty on his pa
will be severely dealt with.

I have the honor to be

Signed J. Hamajee Ro

Registra

Copy

Ag Registrar

1893

OFFICE OF THE
1155-8
8 APR. 93

From The Inspector General of Prisons, Bangalore

To The ~~Secretary to the~~ Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 29th March/93

Despatched 29th —

Received

A+A 6-91-6

Enclosures No one

General No. 314 / No. } 188 —8 .

Forwards a Memorial from Mr. Tate, Chief Jailor, Central Jail, and states that he is not prepared to support the prayer of Mr. Tate for extension —

Recd. from Mysore on the 8th instant. MRK 8/4/93

Submitted

The Mr. Tate's case has been disposed of by G.O. No 11 393 dated 1st April 1893. May the Insp. Genl. be informed of it? MRK 10/4/93

Yes and that under the circs Govt are not prepared to accede to Mr Tates request MRK 10/4

2. The Same No: 19719 / C 4831 /

The accompan
letter from the Ins
eral of Prisons
view done by M
C. Srikanteswa
yer. It may b
ordered to be re
in Office & subm
with the referenc
quoted in it, if
it is in Office. If
is not here.
submitted.
7/4/93. G.
Register and subm
with references.
ntered & submitted with
ferences
8/4/93
28/4/93

(6)

Bangalore 29th March 1

From

T. R. A. Shunmoo Chetty Esq
Inspector General of Prisons

To

Sir K Sheshadri Iyer K.C.
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my
No 207 of the 9th instant, I
have the honor to forward the
enclosed Memorial to your
address from Mr Tate, Chief
Jailor Central Jail, and to st
that I am not prepared to suppo
the prayer for retension, as m
confidence in Mr Tate has bee
shaken by the misconduct re
ferred to in my letter quoted
above.

I have the honor to b
Sir
Your most Obed Servan

T. R. Shunmoo Chetty
Inspector General
of Prisons

Enc

Central Jail
18th March 1893

To.

His Excellency
Sir T. Seshadriyar K.C.S.I
Dewan of Mysore

The humble Memorial of
Thomas Tate
Chief Jailor Central Jail
Bangalore

Respectfully Sheweth

That your Memorialist has been serving the Government for nearly 22 years. That during this long period your Memorialist was employed as Jailor of the Shimoga Jail and Central Jail at Bangalore, and tho' the nature of his work required his attention from 10 to 12 hours daily, he performed his duties satisfactorily except in one instance when a theft of a copper vessel occurred, and for his negligence in this instance your Memorialist has been severely reprimanded. That had your Petitioner been aware that the copper vessel in question was Government Property, he would never have allowed it-

to be sold.

H. T.

That during the whole of his service your petitioner has never taken any privilege leave, but has always been at his post day and night like a faithful servant

That on his joining his present appointment the manufacturing branch of the Central Jail was in a most backward state, no profits of any consequence having been realised for years previous

That by sheer hard work and attention on the part of your petitioner he showed during the first year of his incumbency a profit to Government of Rs. 8,045-14-8 which has since been steadily increasing.

That your Petitioner wants only 3 years more to qualify for a half pay pension and that notwithstanding is past good and long service e is given to understand that e will soon be retired on account the fault above stated

That your Petitioner is still hale and strong & well able to erve the Government to advantage or several years more

That in addition to his own family, your Petitioner has to

of the late Mr. C. P. Lovery, and
her four children
That finally the Inspector General
of Jails having been pleased to
declare that "any future dereliction
of duty on his part will be severely
dealt with" and your Petitioner
not having since committed any
fault, humbly prays that he
may be retained in Service
till he qualifies for half pension

Your Petitioner respectfully begs
to remain
Your most Obed. Servant
Thomas Tobin
Chief Jailer
D. C. J.

Correspondence

I & A. 6-91-7 ending with letter No. 207 dated 9th March 1893 from the Inspector General of Prisons in hyderabad recommending for reasons explained that Mr Tali, Chief Jailor, may be kept in service till 30th June 1893, by which time he will complete his 22nd year of his service and be about 57 years of age

Order

No 16330-31 / 8 3935 / 91-4-93 / 3

Journal of Prisons'
recommendation
to retain Mrs. Tate's
services up to 30th
June 1893 is sanctioned

Chief Secy

To
The Inspector General
of Prisons in Lagos

The Comptroller to
Lagos for Inf.

AK.

G.
R.P. note
Mrs

Ch ____ / 93 — 5 — 93
84831

(8)

The Insp.r Gen.l of
Prisons in Mysore

A.I.A. 8-91-8

Sir

With reference to your letter no 314 Dy 29th March forwarding for orders a memorial from Mr Tata Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore, I am directed to state that Mr Tata's case has been disposed of by Govt Proc.s no 16330-31 Dy 9.3935 1st April 93, and that under the circumstances Govt are not prepared to accede to Mr Tata's request.

I have &c

Sd/-
12/5

Sd/- W. J. [illegible]
18/5

Secretary

Vide Sheet Extension of Prisoners No 6969 dated 9-5-93

From

The Chief Secretary to t
o

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

1893 G 6447

From The Registrar to the Inspector General of Prisons, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19th June 1893

Despatched 19 —

Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 636 / No. } 188 —8 .

Requests the sanction of Govt to the proposal made regarding the pay of Chief Jailor and Deputy Jailor and states that in anticipation of such sanction the candidate for the appointments in question will be informed of the future pay of the posts.

Submitted with reference

The present pay of the Chief Jailor at Bangalore is Rs 150/ and that of the Jailor at Mysore Rs 100 per mensem.

19/6/93

Came to the Compte

21212-3 & 22/6

& 5271

No. 636 Inclosure

Bangalore 19th June 1893.

From.

The Registrar to the
Inspector General of Prisons
in Mysore.

To.

The Chief Secretary -
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Proceedings of Govt. No. 16330-31 dt. 1st April 1893.
F. 3935
No. 849 dt. 13th May 1893, from the Superintendent
Mysore Jail to the Inspector General of Prisons
(copy enclosed)

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, directing the retirement of Mr. Tate, Chief Jailor Central Jail, and of Mr. Pinto, Jailor, Mysore Jail, from the 1st of July 1893, I am directed to state that the Inspector General of Prisons has under contemplation a revision of all the Jail establishments in the State upon the most recent and approved principles as described in Dr. Lethbridge's valuable Report on Jail Administration in India. In the new Scheme it is proposed, among other things, to grip

minimum pay of the Chief Jailor at Rupees 100 rising to 150 Rupees, by annual increments of Rs 10, and that of the Jailor at Bryore at 75 Rs rising to 100 Rs, by annual increments of Rs 5. As the selection of competent men to fill those places has to be made before the 1st proximo, I am directed to request the sanction of Government to the proposal made above.

In anticipation of such sanction, the candidates for the appointments in question will be informed that the future pay of the posts will be as stated above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt Servant,

[signature]

Registrar.

From

The Superintendent

Mysore Jail

To

The Inspector General of Prisons

in Mysore.

Bangalore.

Dated 13th May 1893.

No 879

Sir,

With reference to your No 396 of 19th Ultimo, with regard to the retirement of Mr Pinteon, Jailor of the Mysore Jail, I have the honor to inform you that Mr Pinteon, Jailor of the Mysore Jail, will retire from the 1st July 1893.

2. With regard to the Memo I promised to send you about the reorganization of the Jail Establishment, I will write to you on the subject as soon as possible.

I have &c

Sd/ Percy St. Benson Mr. B.

Copy

Superintendent

Mysore Jail

Mudaliar

Registrar

Read letter no 630 dtd 19 June 93
from the Registrar & Insr
Genl of Prisons

D & A. 8-9-10

Order thereon $\frac{21212-3}{5271}$ 9 $\frac{22}{23}$ 6-93

As recommended
in the above Govt sanction
the ~~following~~ scale of pay for the
Chief Jailor at the Bangalore
Central Jail & Jailor at
~~the~~ Mysore Jail for the
future with effect from
1st proximo :-

Chief Jailor Bangalore. ~~Rs~~ 100 per
mensem rising to Rs 150
by annual increments
of Rs 10.

Jailor Mysore. Rs 75 per mensem
rising to Rs 100 by annual
increments of Rs 5

To. The Registrar to the Inspector
Genl of Prisons.
Comptroller to Mysore Government.

Chief Secy
12/6/93

31 MAY 94
189 SORE

From 17838.

The Comptroller in Mysore. by 627

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 30 May

Despatched 2-6-94

Received

Enclosures No. General Service Regns 3 enclosures

General No. 6984
No.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to Article 471 of the Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Government the marginally noted application for pension ~~gratuity~~ duly verified and reported on received with docket No. 1013, dated 19 March/94 from Resident to the Inspector General of Prisons in

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Ser

M Cayley
Comptroller.

Name and Designation.	Class of pension or gratuity.	Amount.
Narrain Dass Chief Jailor Central Jail Bangalore	Suspension	65 36

History of the Service (shewing breaks) of Mr Thomas Dale Chief Jailor Central Jail Bangalore

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of Beginning.	Date of Ending.	Period reckoned as Service.	Period not reckoned as Service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Comptroller.
Shemoga Jail	Jailor	100/-	"	2nd June/71.	31st May/86.	14-11-29				Service from 2nd June/71 to June/86
do	do.	120/-		1st June/86.	26th June/88.	2-"-26				
Central Jail Bangalore	Chief Jailor	Rs 150/- plus Commission on Manufactory profits at 12½ & 8½ p/c	Nil	~~2nd June 1871~~ 2nd June/88.	~~18th July 1873~~ 30th June/93.	~~Yrs M Days 2-0-29~~ 5-0-4	Nil		By Nic Baravendra & the applicant's service roll	Service from 2nd June/71 to June/86 omitted as recipient of the Central as contributor, vide column 7 of this Register & carried forward as Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore
					Total	22-0-29				

N.B. "Although the applicant according to the report of Dr Chokai was physically fit for further service for another year from 1st July/93, yet the Govt on the report of the Inspr General declined to grant the extension, & ordered his retirement in the interests of the Jail service vide Govt No: Jail 16330-41 & 1/2 dated 12th April/93."

Sanctioned vide Govt No: 10139 dated 19/5/93 from Registrar & E.C. to Comptroller — A.L.

Noted. The applicant being over 30 years of age, at the time of his entertainment, & his qualifying being after 20th Jany/71. he is entitled to the provisions of Article 321 of the Regulations.

Certified that the applicant appears to be in continuous pensionable service & there has been no breaks in his service.

Superintendent
Central Jail
Bangalore

Remarks by Head of Office.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant, (see Ch: XIII.) nil

4. Explanation under Section 104, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. nil.

5. Any other Remarks. Retirement ~~under~~ Applied for Act Certificate attached. Govt in Pro: No 16330-31 S. 3925-91/4/93.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office, whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (see Sec. 131, Rule 6.) Established should be admitted

D McNeill

Signature.
Superintendent
Govt Bungalows

Certificate and Report of Comptroller.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in Superior grades have been duly proved for 22 years — 0 — months — 9 — days; and that superannuation pension not exceeding Rupees 65-3-6 is admissible under ~~section~~ Articles 321(a) of the ~~Civil Pension Code~~ Pension Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The pension which is chargeable to General Revenues has been computed by reduction of Rs 74-8-7 i.e 22/60 ths of Rs 203-4-8 average emoluments. Viz Rs 9-5-1. The applicant's qualifying service having elapsed on the 26 January 1891 and up to 30 May page

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,
Bangalore, 30 May 1894.

H Eagle
for Comptroller

Attention is invited to Article 317 of the Regulations.

Application for Pension or Gratuity.

Date of application.	15/8/93.
Name of applicant.	Mr J. Tate
Last appointment.	Chief Asstt Central Jail Bhutgalpur
Class of pension or gratuity.	Superannuation pension
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	1st July 1893
Date of sanction.	

4090.

Returned the extract submitted with a certificate under column for "Any other remarks" at the 3rd page of this application explaining the cause of the applicant's inefficiency, quoting the order of Government sanctioning the applicant's retirement as superannuated — as required by Article 473 of the Bengal Service Regulations.

E. Lamborn
A.G.
6/11/93
Comptroller
To the Supdt Jail

No 506
Ofc
Orno

Retransmitted with the certificate referred to herein duly attached

Offg Superintendent
Bhutgalpur Central
10.11.93. Jail-Bhutgalpur

1. Name of Applicant. Thomas Tate

2. Father's Name. Thomas Tate

3. Race, Sect and Caste. European

4. Residence shewing Village &c. Bangalore

5. Present or last employment including name of Establishment. Chief Jailor Central Jail Bangalore

6. Date of beginning of service. 2d June 1871. 34-11-19 (35)

7. Date of end of service. ~~from 1st July 1893.~~ or 30th June 1893. (57)

8. Length of service including interruptions. Yrs. Ms. Ds. 22-11-29

Of which superior 22-11-29

Inferior ... nil ... ...

Non-qualifying and interruptions. ... nil ...

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. * Superannuation pension, the applicant having been retired after 55 years of age on account of old age.

10. (Average) emoluments or pay. ~~Rs 205-15-7 per month~~ (~~vide below~~) X
~~Rs 8-5-203-4-8~~

11. Proposed pension. ~~Rs 75-11-7 per mensem~~ (Rs. 74-8-7 minus 9-5-1 =) Rs 65-3-6 per mensem, annas three, & pies six. ✓

12. Proposed gratuity. nil

13. Date from which Pension is to commence. 1st July 1893.

14. Place of payment. Bangalore District Treasury

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † 14th June 1836

16. Height. 5ft 8 in

17. Marks. An anchor tattoo mark on the right forearm - a watch on the left side of the abdomen.

18. Date. 15/8/93.

Pay. Rs 150-0-0
Staff 53-15-7 } 203-15-7
Gunnerson 53-4-8 } 203-4-8
Manufactory
Store Guard
Last ~~6 months~~ 6 yrs

Rachaiah
Signature of Head of Office.
Superintendent
Central Jail
Bangalore

†If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

(If not known exactly must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

174-12-4
Chief 8
Warder
4 161-1-4 for the 2d quarter or
Rs 338-13-8 for the 6 months.

Statement shewing the amount of Commission drawn by Mr J. Tate from 1888 to 1893 on account of Profits

Year	Particulars	Amt	Average per quarter
88-89	Quarter ending 30th Sepr/and 31st Decr/88	428 1 9	
	Do 31st March/89	104 4 5	
	Do. 30th June/89	108 10 6	
	Total Rs	641 0 8	160 4 2
89-90	Do. 30th Sepr. /89	162 2 2	
	Do 31st Decr/89	121 14 9	
	Do 31st March/90	208 2 8	
	Do 30th June/96	249 4 4	
	Total Rs	741 7 11	185 6
890-91	Do. 30th Sepr/90	61 7 2	
	Do 31st Decr/90	290 7 11	
	Do. 31st March/91	199 14 7	
	Do. 30th June/91	190 5 6	
	Total Rs	742 3 2	185 8 6
	Total Carried over Rs	2124 11 9	

Year	Particulars	Amt	Average per quarter
	Total Brought fd	2124 11 9	
1891-92	Quarter ending 30th Sepr/91	115 9 1	
	Do. 31st Decr/91	124 11 6	
	Do 31st Mar/92	198 2 2	
	Do 30th June/92	59 13 6	
	Total Rs	498 4 3	124 9 1
1892-93	Do 30th Sepr/92	118 4 3	
	Do 31st Decr/92	120 7 11	
	Do 31st March/93	174 12 4	
	Do 30th June/93	161 1 4	
	Total Rs	574 9 10	143 10 5
	Grand Total drawn for 20 quarters	3197 8 10	
	Average for 20 quarters		159 14 2

This gives an avera
Rs 53-2-8 per mensem
Commission drawn
Tate taking the ave
the different quarters
years 1888-1893 Jail

60) 3197-9-1
300
197
180
176
120
56
48
8

Bangalore Central Jail
Superintendents Office
Dated May/1894

The Comments in this Statement agrees with that shewn in the Manufactures Accts

Superintendent

$$\frac{20-6-17}{24-5-4}$$

$$\frac{81-0}{57}$$

$$\frac{24-11-22}{57-25-18}$$

$$\frac{74-5-8_{11}}{20-4-20}$$

$$\frac{\frac{20}{22}}{\frac{11}{22}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{8}{\frac{5}{20}}}{\frac{\frac{126}{210}}{\frac{336}{210}}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{11}{22}}{\frac{6}{22}}}{\frac{\frac{6}{22}}{\frac{12}{22}}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{9}{\frac{20}{25}}}{\frac{\frac{7}{4}-8-8-5}{4-11-4}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{1}{20}}{\frac{12}{20}}}{\frac{\frac{5}{20}}{\frac{28}{20}}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{12}}{\frac{\frac{9}{12}}{\frac{\frac{11}{12}}{\frac{14}{12}}}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{11}{\frac{7}{12}}}{\frac{\frac{9}{12}}{\frac{\frac{14}{12}}{\frac{5}{12}}}}$$

This is to Certify that Mr Jate late Chief Jailor of this Jail being of about 57 years of age was retired by order of Government (Vide Proceedings No 16330-31 dated Bangalore 18th april 1893) at the end of June 1893.

Offg Superintendent
Central Jail
Bangalore

Bangalore
10th Nov 1893

THE ENTRIES IN THIS FORM ARE TO BE RENEWED OR RE-ATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF AND THE DATE THEREOF CLEARLY SHEWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of Birth.	Age. Years.	Age. Months.	Age. Days.	Exact Hight. Feet.	Exact Hight. Inches.	Personal Marks for Identification.	Date of Entry into Service.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and Designation of the Head of the Office.
Thomas Sale.	European	Bangalore	Thomas Sale.	Bangalore	14th June 1836	48	"	"	5	8	An anchor tattoo mark on the right forearm. A wart on the left side of the abdomen.	2nd June 1871.	Thomas Sale	

1 Name of Appointment.	2 Whether substantive or acting, and whether permanent or temporary.	3 If acting, here state the Substantive Appointment.	4 Pay.	5 Acting Allowance.	6 Date of commencement of Appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Jailor, Shimoga Jail.	Permanent.	Nil	100	"	2nd June 1871	
Do	Do	Nil	120	"	1st June 1886	
Pay increased to Rs 120/- from 1st June 1886.						
Chief Jailor, Central Jail, Bangalore	Acting on probation for 6 months.	Jailor Shemoga Jail	150	"	27th June 1888	
Confirmed as Chief Jailor Bangalore Central Jail (Vide Registrar's Letter No 603 dated 22nd November 1888).						

Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.	Date of termination of Appointment.	Reason of termination, *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.
Mr Thomas Tate has served under me as Jailor in the Shimoga Jail since the date of his entrance into the service viz June 1871. I have always had reason to be pleased with him but more especially of latter years. He has worked well and has become a very ~~efficient Jail Officer~~ & I have to thank him for the valuable assistance which he at all times cheerfully rendered to me. His knowledge of framing plans & estimates & making ~~sketches~~ & drawings have been of great use from time to time. He is honest & trustworthy, sober, diligent, & obliging and consequently a valuable servant of Govt and I		31st May 68	Increase of pay.	
		26th Jany 1868	Removed as Gaolor Bangalore Central Jail	

1 Name of Appointment.	2 Whether substantive or acting, and whether permanent or temporary.	3 If acting, here state the Substantive Appointment.	4 Pay.	5 Acting Allowance.	6 Date of commencement of Appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of Appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.
should be glad to hear of his further advancement in the Service. Shimoga 23/ J. J. McGann April 20 Supt. of Jail. 1876.	Mac Mcann			Mac Mcann
Mr. Tate has served under me as Jailor in the Shimoga Jail from 1876 – 1881, & during the whole of that time he has worked well and has given me great satisfaction. As a Jailor he is extremely careful in obeying orders, & it was indeed rarely that I ever had occasion to find fault with him. As Superintendent of Manufactures he is invaluable being thoroughly acquainted with all kinds of work. The amount of work turned out of the Jail per annum is quite a sufficient guarantee of his capabilities in this line. I have always found him to be sober, diligent, & trustworthy	Surg Dist Superintendent			Surg Dist Superintendent

1 Name of Appointment.	2 Whether substantive or acting, and whether permanent or temporary.	3 If acting, here state the Substantive Appointment.	4 Pay.	5 Acting Allowance.	6 Date of commencement of Appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.	Date of termination of Appointment.	Reason of termination, *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.
and extremely attentive to his work. It gives me much pleasure in being able to speak thus highly of him. Jany 26/81. sd/ P. H. Benson Jr B. Supt. Alms House Jail I concur in the opinion expressed by Dr. Mulgan & Benson. Mr Tate has maintained the character expressed above during the time that I have held charge of the Jail from January 1881 to August 1883. He has invariably kept the Jail clean & in good order, and has maintained strict discipline among the prisoners. He has carefully supervised the office work. He is a very good mechanic and takes much interest in all branches of the manufactures in the Jail, which are under his special charge. He has always been attentive to his duties. I have much pleasure in expressing my thanks for his efficient and valuable services in the Jail during my tenure of office. He will make a Capital manager of any larger Establishment than this one. I shall be glad to hear of his promotion to a higher post in the service. 21/8/83 sd/ J. Raghavendra Rao Supte of Jail & Asst Comm	Thos Gibbons Jr Supt Alms House & Jail J. Raghavendra Rao Supte of Jail & Asst Comm			Thos Gibbons Jr Supt Alms House & Jail Raghavendra Rao Supt of Jail & Asst Comm

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of Appointment.	Whether substantive or acting, and whether permanent or temporary.	If acting, here state the Substantive Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of commencement of Appointment.	Signature of Officer.

Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of Appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
I have clearly and succiently expressed my good opinion of Mr Tate's qualifications in the administration Report of the Jail for 1883. All I have now to say is that Mr Tate is a good example of activity & of simple & sober habits. Thoroughly acquainted as he is with his duties he is able to relieve the Supt to a good deal of routine and other work, which the latter would otherwise have to do. He seems however to be born under an ill star having been without promotion for a very long time I am confident that if the Authorities be pleased to give him the Jailorship of a Jail of far greater importance when a favorable opportunity presents itself	R Macdonald M.D.			R Macdonald M.D.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing per good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of Appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e. g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.
Mr Dale will do credit to such election. (Signed) P. Panchanatha Rao Assistant Commissioner and late Superintendent of the Shimoga Jail 8th June 1885				
During the time I was in Charge of the Shimoga Jail Mr Dale was Jemadar & in that capacity he is a good and trustworthy man Assistant Commr 5th February 86				

Character of Officer. *(no entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed and reinstatements in like manner.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.	Date of termination of Appointment.	Reason of termination, *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate Head of the Office.
I have much pleasure in stating that during the six months of probation which Mr. Tate has acted as Chief Sailor in the Central Jail Bangalore I have been most thoroughly satisfied with him in every way & have found him sharp sober & most hardworking & having great control over the prisoners & in every way a most efficient officer & I only hope his appointment as Chief Sailor of this Jail will be confirmed Appointment as Chief Sailor of B. C. J. confirmed on Nov. 22nd 1888 H H Sparkes Since writing the above Mr. Tate has carried out his duties in the same satisfactory manner & I trust he will always give the same satisfaction as he has done H H Sparkes Supt B. C. J. Jany 3rd/90	H H Sparkes Supt. Central Jail		Recommended for getting a warrant Endorsed & returned (Registrar's No 56 of 29-1-92) D K Chokki Supt. Central Jail 2-2-92	

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. J. Tate

Caste or Race. European

Residence. Bangalore

FATHER'S

Name. Thomas Tate

Residence. Bangalore

Date of Birth. 14th June 1836

(General Serial No. 30)

18547.
13 JUN. 91

1893/94 No 6490

From Wm. L. Sale
Retired Chief Justice

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

A+A. 8-91-12

Dated 12 June 94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Requesting the grant of pension of Rs 74 instead of Rs 65 as settled by the Comptroller.

Asst Private Secretary
Note Sig.
12/7/94
Note and order
Auditor Genl
7/8/94

References to be marked.

Ll. and M. March, P. and S. Earl
for a pres. Earl
1896
32

Bangalore
Richmond Town
12th June 1894

To
The Chief Secretary
to His Excellency the Dewan
to His Highness the Maharajah of
Mysore

Sir,

I beg respectfully to represent to
you that my pension which has been
declared since the 30th June 93. has
been finally settled in
the Comptroller's office at Rs 65 odd
and submitted to Government for
sanction
I beg respectfully to represent that
the full amount of pension to which
I am entitled is about Rs 74 odd
but from a technicality in the
Pension Code, Rupees 9. are de-
ducted therefrom, which I respect-
fully beg to state is very hard
after a continuous and laborious
service in the Jail Department, for
a period of 22 years without a
single days privilege or other leave
When retired by Government as
I was thoroughly fit to serve for
a further period of two years at
least, and was recommended by
the Jail Supt. for a further extension
of one year, but the fates were
against me. Had I been allowed

to serve for two or three years more, not only would I have made a better provision for my family, but the amount of my Pension would have been greater. I have been retired when still effective, and I am being awarded a reduced pension, two hundredth for one fault. I respectfully beg you will be pleased to favorably consider my claims and submit my petition to His Excellency the Governor, with your kind support and obtain sanction to the full amount of pension I am entitled to. And your petitioner in duty bound shall ever pray

Thomas Tobin
Retired Chief Justice

A+A 8-91-13

30th May 94, from the Comptroller to the Govt of Impse submitting proofs duly verified and reported on the Pension application of Mr Thomas Pike, late Chief Jailer, Central Jail Bangalore.

2) Petition dt 12th June 94, from Mr Pike, praying for the grant of the pension of Rupees 7½ per annum ~~by him~~ he earned in 22 years' service ~~rendered by him~~ instead of Rs 65 only as reported by the Comptroller to the Govt of Impse.

2391-2
726-93 7½-8-94
Done

thereon.

Mr. Gale entered
the Service of Govt.
on 2d. June 1871,
when he was 35 years
old and was retired
therefrom on Super-
annuation Pension
on 1st. July 1893.
The qualifying Service
brought in by him
amounts to 22 years
and 9 days and
the pension earned
by him therefor is
£74-8-7. But
as he commenced
his Service after 20
January 1871,
~~the Service prescribed in~~
~~article 321(a) of the~~
~~Mysore Service Regulations~~
and after he attained
the age of 30 years,
the said pension is
reducible by $\frac{5}{40}$ ths

under Art 321(a) of
the Mysore Service Regns

~~that article and~~
~~the deceased A'pension~~
~~therefore~~
~~cannot be admissible~~
~~to claim pension to~~
~~& C~~ R. 65 — 3 — 6 herewith
—ewith ~~which~~ is herewith
submitted to be
laid before Mr. Sate with
effect from the 1st
July 1893.

2. The Govt. ~~observe~~
that Mr. Yates urges
that the pension
earned by him may
be granted in full
without any deduction
being made therefrom
do not justify a
departure from the
rules and regret
therefore that they
cannot grant the
indulgence asked for

request that they cannot
under the Rules grant
the concession asked
for by Mr Yates.

7/8/94

Chief Clerk

To, The Comptroller & the Govt
Pensioner with copy of the
Pet. & papers for Pension office.

2393
4-26-C The Inspector General of Pensions in Ireland
Police & Mr. [illegible] Pension & this Petition read home.